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By Paul N. Van de Water

Each year Medicare’s Board of Trustees issues a report that describes the financial condition of the Medicare program in the near term and over the next 75 years. Similar to recent trustees reports, the 2008 report projects that Medicare’s Hospital Insurance Trust Fund will be depleted in 2019, at which time scheduled income will cover 78 percent of expenditures.

Looking at these projections, some observers contend that Medicare must be fundamentally restructured in order to put the program—and the overall federal budget—on a sound fiscal course. Others point out that Medicare spending has grown at about the same rate as spending for private health insurance and argue that it is impossible to limit spending on Medicare without also slowing the growth of private health care costs or abandoning equal access to care for the aged and disabled.

How is Medicare financed?
Medicare helps pay medical expenses for 37 million Americans age 65 and older and 7 million persons with disabilities. The program’s financial affairs are handled through two trust funds, the Hospital Insurance (HI, or Medicare Part A) Trust Fund and the Supplementary Medical Insurance (SMI) Trust Fund. The SMI trust fund consists of two separate accounts—one for Part B of Medicare (which pays for physician and other outpatient health services) and one for Part D (which pays for outpatient prescription drugs). A Board of Trustees oversees the management and investment of the trust funds and issues an annual report on their financial status. Table 1 summarizes the major features of the programs.

Part A of Medicare is funded primarily by payroll taxes on wages and self-employment income. Workers and employers each pay 1.45 percent of wages, for a total of 2.9 percent. Unlike Social Security taxes, which are not imposed on earnings above a set level, Medicare taxes are collected on workers’ entire earnings. Payroll tax revenue will provide 91 percent of the income of the HI program in 2008. Interest earned on the investments of the trust funds and income taxes on a portion of Social Security benefits make up most of the balance.
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Beneficiaries enrolled in Parts B and D of Medicare pay monthly premiums that are usually deducted from their Social Security benefits. These premiums cover about a quarter of the cost of supplementary medical insurance. The premium for Part B is $96.40 a month in 2008. The premium for Part D, the prescription drug benefit, averages about $28 a month. The premium amounts grow each year at roughly the same rate as the increase in the cost of the programs. Beneficiaries with incomes above $82,000 a year pay an additional income-related Part B premium. The remaining cost of Parts B and D is financed by general revenues, which come mostly from income taxes paid by taxpayers of all ages.

Medicare beneficiaries are responsible for paying part of the cost of their care, in the form of deductibles and coinsurance for covered health services. Beneficiaries are also liable for the cost of health services not covered by Medicare, which include routine dental care, eyeglasses, hearing aids, and most long-term care.

### What is Medicare’s financial situation?

The Hospital Insurance Trust Fund is projected to start running deficits in 2010, according to the trustees’ best-guess (or “intermediate”) assumptions. Current income and trust fund reserves will be sufficient to pay hospital insurance benefits until 2019, when the reserves are projected to be depleted. At that point, if no changes are made, scheduled HI income will cover 78 percent of estimated expenditures.

The Supplementary Medical Insurance Trust Fund is always adequately financed because beneficiary premiums and general revenue contributions are set annually to cover the expected costs of Parts B and D for the coming year. However, the rapid rate of growth in program costs will place increasing demands on both beneficiaries (to pay the premiums) and taxpayers (to provide the general revenues).
What are the long-range trends in Medicare costs?

The trustees report includes projections of Medicare’s income and expenditures over the next 75 years. There are several ways of making comparisons over such a long period of time, and here we examine two of them.

*Medicare Income and Expenditures as a Percent of GDP.* One way to express the growth in the total Medicare program is as a percentage of the gross domestic product (GDP), which is the total value of all goods and services produced in the United States. This measure shows how much of society’s current resources are devoted to Medicare and allows one to assess the combined costs of HI and SMI. Under the trustees’ intermediate assumptions, total Medicare expenditures will grow from 3.2 percent of GDP in 2007 to 4.4 percent of GDP in 2020 and 8.4 percent of GDP in 2050, as shown by the height of the bars in Figure 1. The components of the bars show the projected sources of financing and HI’s financial shortfall. Payroll taxes will remain relatively constant as a share of GDP, while the other sources of financing will all increase. The HI deficit will grow from 0.5 percent of GDP in 2020 to 2.2 percent of GDP in 2050 and average 1.6 percent of GDP over the next 75 years.

![Figure 1. Medicare Non-Interest Financing by Source as a Percent of GDP](image)

Source: Board of Trustees 2008.
HI Income and Expenditures as a Percent of Taxable Payroll. The long-range estimates for the Hospital Insurance program are also often expressed as a percentage of the total earnings on which people pay Hospital Insurance payroll taxes (“taxable payroll”). Over the next 75 years, using the trustees’ intermediate assumptions, HI income will average 3.38 percent of taxable payroll, while costs will average 6.92 percent of taxable payroll, leaving a deficit of 3.54 percent of payroll over the 75 years. This means, for example, that an immediate increase in the HI payroll tax of 1.77 percentage points each for workers and employers (an increase from 1.45 percent to 3.22 percent) would close this projected deficit.

How confident can we be in these projections?
The financial projections for Medicare depend on assumptions about future birth rates, longevity, productivity, growth in health care costs, and other variables that are surrounded by considerable uncertainty. The estimates are particularly sensitive to the assumed rate of growth of health care costs relative to the rate of growth of the economy. To illustrate this uncertainty, the trustees show projections under high-cost and low-cost assumptions, as well as under the intermediate assumptions.

Trustees reports have been projecting impending HI insolvency for more than 35 years, yet benefits have always been paid because the Congress has taken steps to make sure that they are. Over the past 19 reports, changes in the law, the economy, and programmatic factors have moved the projected year of insolvency as early as 1999 and as late as 2030 (see Table 2). Despite the uncertainty of the projections, however, Medicare spending is almost certain to absorb a growing share of the economy in the years ahead.

Who receives Medicare? How much does Medicare spend per person?
In 2008, some 45 million people, or 1 out of every 7 Americans, will be enrolled in one or more parts of Medicare. Most Medicare beneficiaries live in families with modest incomes. In 2004, 57 percent of Medicare’s non-institutionalized beneficiaries had annual family incomes of $25,000 or less. Only 14 percent had income greater than $50,000 (MCBS Project 2008).

Table 2.
Historical Projections of HI Trust Fund Insolvency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of Trustees Report</th>
<th>Projected Year of Insolvency</th>
<th>Year of Trustees Report</th>
<th>Projected Year of Insolvency</th>
<th>Year of Trustees Report</th>
<th>Projected Year of Insolvency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Board of Trustees (various years).
Medicare spending is highly concentrated in a small group of people who have large medical needs. In 2003, 52 percent of beneficiaries incurred less than $1,000 each in Medicare costs and accounted for only 2 percent of program spending. Six percent of beneficiaries incurred $25,000 or more in costs and accounted for 55 percent of spending (Kaiser 2007).

**How do the 2008 projections compare to last year’s?**

There are no substantial changes in Medicare’s financial outlook. The projected date of exhaustion of the Hospital Insurance Trust Fund is the same. The 75-year HI deficit has decreased slightly—from 3.55 percent to 3.54 percent of taxable payroll. Medicare spending is now projected to total 10.7 percent of GDP in 2080, compared to 11.3 percent of GDP in last year’s report.

**Why is Medicare spending growing so rapidly?**

Medicare’s spending is growing rapidly for the same reasons that private health spending is growing rapidly—increases in the cost and use of medical services. Much of these increases stem from advances in medical practice and technology that have enabled people to live longer and healthier lives. These technological advances have generally raised costs.

Over the years, spending for Medicare enrollees has grown at about the same rate as spending for people covered by private health insurance (see Figure 2). Medicare’s costs have grown more slowly than those of the private sector in some periods, more rapidly in others, and this pattern is likely to continue. This similarity in growth rates is not surprising, because Medicare aims to provide its beneficiaries with access to the same health care services and providers as the rest of the population.

**Figure 2. Growth in Medicare Spending and Private Health Insurance Premiums per Enrollee for Comparable Benefits**

![Growth in Medicare Spending and Private Health Insurance Premiums per Enrollee for Comparable Benefits](image)

Source: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services 2008.
What are the unfunded obligation and the infinite horizon?

A program’s unfunded obligation is a way of summarizing its funding shortfall in a single dollar number. Technically speaking, it is the difference between the present value of the projected cost of a program over a specified time period and the present value of projected income (including the initial value of the trust fund). Put another way, the unfunded obligation is the amount of money that would have to be added to the trust fund today to make the program financially sound for the specified time period.

The 2008 trustees report estimates that the unfunded obligation of the Hospital Insurance Trust Fund for past, current, and future participants is $12.4 trillion over the next 75 years, or the equivalent of 1.6 percent of GDP over that period. The Supplementary Medical Insurance Trust Fund has no unfunded obligation, because general revenues cover all spending that is not financed by other dedicated funding sources. However, the trustees report also provides an estimate of the present value of the required general revenue contributions to Parts B and D of Medicare, equal to $23.6 trillion (30 percent of GDP).

Some economists have argued that limiting the estimate of unfunded obligations to 75 years is inadequate because it includes the full amount of taxes paid by the next few generations of workers but not the full amount of their benefits. Therefore, since 2004, the trustees report has included a measure of unfunded obligations that extends indefinitely. Other analysts contend that calculations over an infinite period are unreliable and of little value to policy makers (American Academy of Actuaries 2003).

What is the Medicare funding warning?

The Medicare Modernization Act of 2003 (Public Law 108-173) establishes a process for issuing a “Medicare funding warning” when the share of general revenue financing is projected to exceed a certain level. If the trustees project that general revenues will finance 45 percent or more of total Medicare spending in any of the next seven fiscal years, they must issue a determination of “excess general revenue Medicare funding.” If the trustees make such a determination two years in a row, their action is treated as a Medicare funding warning. When the next budget is submitted to the Congress, the President must propose legislation to deal with the warning, and the Congress must consider the legislation on an expedited basis. However, the Congress may decide not to enact any legislation.

For purposes of the calculation, general revenue financing includes the general revenue contributions to Parts B and D and the interest on the assets of the trust funds. It excludes specified “dedicated financing sources,” such as payroll taxes, premiums, income from the taxation of benefits, and payments from states for prescription drug benefits for Medicare/Medicaid dual eligibles.

The Medicare funding warning derives from a proposal made in 1999 by Senator John Breaux and Representative Bill Thomas, who described it as “programmatic solvency test” (Breaux and Thomas 1999). When the funding warning was added to the 2003 legislation, the intent was to generate proposals for reducing the amount of general revenues going to support the Medicare program.
Critics of the provision argue that the 45-percent level is not a measure of solvency but an arbitrary benchmark that is unrelated to the financial health of the program. Moreover, this measure is inconsistent with Medicare’s basic financing structure, because by design Medicare is financed in large part by general revenues. Restricting general revenues to 45 percent of Medicare spending would require raising dedicated taxes, such as the payroll tax, or cutting benefits even when the trust funds are in financial balance (Horney and Kogan 2008).

The 2008 trustees report is the third to include a determination of excess general revenue Medicare funding, which is projected to occur in 2014. As a result, the next President will be required to submit proposed legislation by February 2009.
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