

# International Experience with Individual Accounts: An Update

Elaine Fultz  
JMF Research Associates, Philadelphia  
formerly, International Labor Organization,  
Social Security Department

January 26, 2017

# Today's focus

- *The concept:*
  - Social insurance versus systems of *mandatory*, privately managed, individual savings accounts
- *Regions:*
  - Latin America (Chile, 1980)
  - Central and Eastern Europe (Hungary and Poland, 1997)
  - Western Europe (Sweden, 1999)
- *Time period:*
  - Post Bush Social Security privatization proposal (2005-6) and post Global Economic Crisis (fall 2007)

Quick flashback:

Individual Accounts and Demographic Aging

*“Averting the Old Age Crisis”*

World Bank, 1994

# World Bank, 2001

“In the end, both (types of systems) require a subsequent generation to fulfill the generational contract, either in the form of current contributions (in pay-go systems) or through purchase of accumulated assets (in funded systems). **Putting money aside for retirement alone does not change this fact ....”**

# 1. Hungary and Poland:

The shortfall in public pension finance due to individual accounts

|         | Year of partial privatization | Public pension contributions diverted to individual accounts | Resulting annual shortfall to public pension system | Duration of shortfall |
|---------|-------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------|
| Hungary | 1998                          | 20%<br>(6% out of 28%)                                       | 0.8-1.4% of GDP                                     | 43 years              |
| Poland  | 1999                          | 20%<br>(7.3% out of 36%)                                     | 1.5-2.2% of GDP                                     | 50+ years             |

## *Retrenchments of individual accounts*

- Hungary – 2010 – ceased funding individual accounts and recouped most workers account balances, restoring their public pension rights
- Poland – 2011 – cut the diversion of pension revenues to individual accounts (7.3 to 2.3%) and subsequently –2014 -- transferred most accounts to the government, restoring workers' public pension rights

## *2. Individual accounts in Chile*

Year of privatization – 1980

Employee contribution rate – 10%

Competing private funds, “AFPs” – 21

Exclusions – Chilean military and police

More than 20 countries adopted similar  
models

# *Pensions in Chile today*

- Average pension - \$315
- Average replacement rate – 34%
  - 48% men, 24% women
- Minimum wage - \$384
- Workers making regular contributions – 64%
- Average investment returns (since inception) – 8%
  - Investment return to worker after administrative charges – 3%



# *Chile – pension reforms proposed by President Michelle Bachelet, 2016*

- To promote competition among AFPs, create government option
- To protect worker savings, restrict money management fees
- To increase benefits, require employer contribution (5%)
- To promote gender equality, use gender neutral life expectancy tables in computing private annuities

# 3. Sweden

- Year of partial privatization – 1999
- Individual accounts receive 2.5% of 18.5% contribution rate
  - Source of funds to cover missing revenues – Swedish pension buffer funds
- Separation of account record keeping (government) and investment (commercial funds)
- Regulation of private management fees to preserve worker savings  
(0.3% of contributions for administration, 0.4-0.8% money management)
- State-run default fund for those who don't choose a private fund
- At retirement, mandatory annuity purchase from single, public provider

# *Individual accounts in Sweden today*

- Stable system, no retrenchment, but ...
- 700 plus funds
  - 98% of new workforce entrants in default fund, 42% of all participants
  - Few people (6%) exercise the annual option to change funds

# Final observations

- The policy rationale for individual accounts has been narrowed progressively.
- Effective systems require many forms of government involvement.
- Need for a source of funding for transition costs.
- In benefit design, devil is in the details.