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Correction Notice

In the publication, “Understanding Opioids in the Context of Workers’ Compensation” by Jay Patel (February 2021), there was an incorrect link made between opioid prescriptions and occupation and a missing reference.

The third sentence in the sixth paragraph was removed for incorrectly stating a correlation between opioid prescriptions and occupations with lower job security and fewer days of paid leave: “This hypothesis is supported by an exploration of occupational patterns; workers employed in positions with lower job security and fewer days of paid leave were more often prescribed opioids than other workers.” The sentence was reworded and moved to the 17th paragraph: “(There may be an association between job security, paid leave, and injury rates, and OROD rates: workers employed in positions with lower job security, fewer days of paid leave, and high rates of work-related injuries and illnesses face an increased risk of mortality from an opioid overdose).”

In the first sentence of the seventh paragraph, “Workers in industries that are physically demanding...”, a reference to “Correlates of Opioid Dispensing” by Vennela Thumula and Te-Chun Liu (December 2018) was omitted in the original publication.

Introduction

Since 1999, nearly 450,000 people have died from an opioid-related overdose in the United States, with over 200,000 of those deaths attributable to prescription opioids.1 Opioid-related overdose deaths (ORODs) are seen as a major contributing factor, along with alcohol-related illnesses and suicide, to the alarming trend of decreasing U.S. life expectancy that began in 2014. Coined by some researchers as “deaths of despair,” this trend has devastated the country, with particular regions and segments of the population hit hardest.

At the beginning of the crisis, ORODs were most heavily concentrated among low-income whites. From 2000-2013, middle-aged white, non-Hispanic Americans saw an increase in mortality of 200 percent from alcohol and drug overdoses that drove the decrease in their life expectancy.2 This crisis was closely linked to educational

* The opioid crisis hitting white, rather than Black communities, is likely a reason that it has garnered more public attention than prior drug crises, such as crack, and that the government’s reaction has been geared toward treatment and rehabilitation rather than punishment and incarceration. See Hansen and Netherland (2016) on how race created divergent representations in media coverage and policy responses.
attainment, with much higher rates of mortality by opioids, alcohol, and suicide among those holding a high school diploma or less, than among people with higher education.\textsuperscript{3} The trend did not remain limited to this demographic, however. Between 2010 and 2017, “deaths of despair” increased among those considered to be in the prime years of life, ages 25 to 44, across all racial groups and education levels, reducing overall U.S. life expectancy.\textsuperscript{4} Although 2018 saw a very small reversal, with an increase of 0.1 percent in life expectancy from 2017,\textsuperscript{5} and a decrease in overall ORODs,\textsuperscript{6} the 2018 Occupational Safety and Health Administration report on occupational fatalities\textsuperscript{7} reveals that, for the sixth consecutive year, unintentional overdoses accounted for a larger share of work-related fatalities than in the prior year (305 in 2018, up 11 percent from 272 in 2017).\textsuperscript{8}

Despite caution against such practices from clinical guidelines, injured workers are routinely treated with high doses of, and long-term prescriptions for, opioids, especially when they are administered through the workers’ compensation system.\textsuperscript{9} Increased opioid dispensing increases the risk for opioid-related morbidity – dependence, abuse, and overdose. This abuse and dependence are linked with both more costly workers’ compensation claims and reduced work productivity. Understanding the relationships among workers’ compensation programs, opioids, and workplace overdose is important not only for worker safety, but also for the U.S. economy and society more broadly.\textsuperscript{10}

**Workplace injuries and opioids**

There is a substantial body of literature on the associations among opioid prescriptions, injured workers, and workers’ compensation programs. A review of this research indicates that injured workers are widely prescribed opioids for pain management through the workers’ compensation system.\textsuperscript{11} A study of Washington’s State Fund claims, for example, found that more than one third of patients received opioids for lower back pain, with more than half of those patients being prescribed opioids on their first visit to a doctor.\textsuperscript{12} Rates were even higher among workers with lost-time injuries of more than seven days. Data from 27 states shows that between half and well over three quarters (52-85 percent) of workers whose doctors prescribed medication for the treatment of pain were prescribed opioids.\textsuperscript{13} Injured workers who file claims through workers’ compensation are also more likely to receive higher doses of opioids, compared to workers who receive treatment via private insurance claims.\textsuperscript{14}

The exact reasons for these prescribing patterns are unknown, but research points to economic insecurity among injured workers as one potential reason. Workers may receive higher doses of opioids to enable them to continue to work despite pain or to return to work more quickly.\textsuperscript{15} Interviews conducted by the Mystic Valley Public Health Commission reveal the pressure workers faced “to work in pain and the common use of opioids, both prescribed and those obtained without a prescription.” (It is unclear whether workers request higher doses, or if workers’ compensation-associated physicians generally prescribe them.) This suggests that these workers might not be able to afford to stay out of work, as the workers’ compensation cash benefits they receive may not be adequate, and their potential to lose their jobs is high.\textsuperscript{16} Another possible reason for the prescribing patterns may be the nature of workers’ compensation claims: workplace injuries are often severe, in some cases debilitating, and until recently opioids have been the standard go-to medications for pain management of major surgeries and traumatic injuries.\textsuperscript{17}

**Characteristics**

Workers in industries that are physically demanding were much more likely to be prescribed high doses of opioids and given long-term prescriptions.\textsuperscript{18} Construction workers stood out in several studies.\textsuperscript{19} Other workers
at a heightened risk include those in mining and extraction industries; farming, fishing, and forestry; and manufacturing. Opioids are also prescribed at significantly higher rates for musculoskeletal and chronic pain injuries, especially those that are back-related, than for soft-tissue injuries. Moreover, these two factors are related to each other. Of the injuries incurred by workers in the industries noted above, a large share are musculoskeletal and chronic-pain injuries. Finally, older workers (i.e., those ages 40 and over) were also generally more likely to receive opioids for workplace injuries than their younger counterparts.

Persistent opioid use (i.e., filling a prescription or taking opioids for 90 days or more after injury date) is a predictor of opioid-related morbidity. Other links include pre-injury incomes of $60,000 and greater; older age; white race; chronic pain injuries; and part-time employment. (While chronic pain and part-time employment mesh with the patterns described above, it is less clear why white and higher-income workers are more likely to have problematic opioid use.)

The strongest risk factor for persistent opioid use is not a patient’s characteristics, but rather, the physician’s prescribing pattern. Patients who receive 20 or more days’ supply in their initial prescription are more likely to use opioids long term, compared with those whose doctors provided smaller initial supplies. Some studies use morphine milligram equivalents (MME) instead of number of days’ supply to better gauge the relative potency of different opioids and assess their impact. Patients who receive high initial doses of opioids face a nine-fold increase in overdose risk (see Figure 1 below).

*Figure 1. Higher Prescribed Dose Increases Overdose Risk*

Many patients do not use their entire dose, leaving them with an excess. A study conducted by the American Pain Society found that 85 percent of patients had unused pills, with the average being 30 from a prescribed dose of 80 pills.
Workplace overdoses and injuries: Multiple connections and serious risks

The drivers of the relationship between workplace injuries and workplace opioid overdoses is not well understood, but recent research suggests several connections between these injuries and opioid-related overdose deaths.

While injured workers are at an overall increased risk of developing opioid-related morbidity, the risk is especially pronounced among workers who incur lost-time injuries. They are almost three times as likely to develop such conditions compared to those with medical-only injuries. Compounding this risk is the increased likelihood of developing injury-related conditions, with post-injury depression among the most common and well-documented illnesses afflicting injured workers.

Post-injury depression may be triggered by one or more of several factors including chronic pain; long-term earnings loss; the financial burden caused by the injury; and the logistical challenges of pursuing a workers’ compensation claim. Almost half of the workers surveyed in one study, who had no prior diagnosis of depression, suffered from depression-related symptoms up to one year after their injury, and one in ten was diagnosed with depression in the same period. Depressed patients initiate opioid therapy more often than non-depressed patients and are twice as likely to transition to long-term use. At the state level, for every one percent increase in reported depression, there is about a five percent increase in opioid-related overdose deaths.

Depression is also an opioid-related morbidity. In one of the largest cohort studies examining opioids and depression, researchers found that 10 percent of 100,000 patients prescribed opioids developed depression after one month of use. These patients had no prior diagnosis of depression and were being treated for five conditions including back pain and musculoskeletal pain, both common work-related injuries.

The link between opioid use and depression is bidirectional, as suffering from one increases the risk for the others, creating two distinct pathways from workplace injury to opioid-related overdose deaths.

Individuals with other opioid-related morbidities, such as dependence, are also at an elevated risk of mortality from overdoses. The death rate for people with opioid dependence is seven times greater than that of the general population. Once again, the construction industry stands out, as it has both a higher rate and total number of ORODs compared to all other industries. (There may be an association between that job security, paid leave, and injury rates and OROD rates: workers employed in positions with lower job security, fewer days of paid leave, and high rates of work-related injuries and illnesses face an increased risk of mortality from an opioid overdose.)

Direct evidentiary links to workplace overdoses and workplace injuries are sparse. Until recently, ORODs tracked with the rise in opioid prescriptions, but state policy interventions that began in the 2010s successfully reduced the number of opioid prescriptions written both overall and within the workers’ compensation system. Even so, fatal workplace overdoses increased by at least 25 percent from 2012-2017, with opioids accounting for 44 percent of those deaths. Results from a report conducted by the Massachusetts Department of Public Health found that the average age of workplace OROD victims in Massachusetts was 39 years old, much younger than the workers 60+ years old who are more likely to persistently use opioids.

Understanding the various connections among these factors – workplace injuries, opioid use and abuse, and the rising trend of workplace overdoses – is difficult, due to the limits of available research. For example, while

† See Bertke et al. (2020) for a discussion on work disability, self-harm, and mortality from opioid overdoses.
studies attempt to explore the connections between two specific data points, they do not generally assess the broader, interconnected factors.

Figure 2. Pathway from Work Injury to OROD

Massachusetts, which has made a concerted effort to identify workplace instances of opioid overdoses, offers the best insights.\(^4\) A recent study, which examined all recorded fatal injuries in Massachusetts from 2016 to 2017, found that unintentional opioid overdoses accounted for 25 percent of fatalities at work in this period. However, the researchers did not clarify/know whether workers who suffered overdoses at work had already been prescribed opioids or had been injured at work and, if so, whether they were treated through workers’ compensation or private insurance. These limitations prevent even this study from establishing direct links between workers’ compensation and ORODs in the workplace, presenting a gap in research that future studies can address.

Conclusion

There is substantial evidence that work-related injuries lead to increased levels of opioid morbidity, especially when the workers’ compensation system is involved. Workers with lost-time occupational injuries have increased risk of drug-related mortality, possibly related to post-injury depression and pain. Research to-date shows that workers in physically demanding industries, such as construction and mining, are among those at the highest risk. Economic vulnerability, arising from job insecurity, lack of paid leave, and inadequate cash benefits, along with opioid prescribing patterns that fail to meet medical safety guidelines, further increase the risk of opioid morbidity and mortality.

Many of the problems that increase the risk for opioid-related morbidity and mortality may be reduced through new policies, legislation, and regulation, as well as improving worker safety. In addition, a 2018 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention report highlights other effective strategies to prevent opioid overdose. These include increased access to naloxone and medication-assisted treatment; prescription drug monitoring programs; and evaluation of prescribing practices and patient review in state-run programs (such as workers’ compensation). Washington State, where state agencies, medical providers, and community stakeholders have collaborated to maximize the impact of policy interventions, provides a promising model.\(^5\) Adopting such multifaceted approaches might provide a significant boost to both local communities and the economy as a whole,\(^6\) and ensure that workers’ compensation – one of the first social insurance programs in the U.S. – will continue to adequately protect workers and their families.

\(^{4}\) See Banta-Green et al. (2015) and our State Spotlight: Washington’s Multi-Faceted Approach, for further information on Washington’s successful policy interventions fueled by collaboration.

\(^{5}\) Society of Actuaries (2019), Economic Impact of Non-Medical Opioid Use in the United States.
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